

WRONSKIAN TYPE DETERMINANTS OF ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS, SELBERG TYPE FORMULAS AND CONSTANT TERM IDENTITIES

ANTONIO J. DURÁN

ABSTRACT. Let $(p_n)_n$ be a sequence of orthogonal polynomials with respect to the measure μ . Let T be a linear operator acting in the linear space of polynomials $\mathbb{P}$ and satisfying that $\deg(T(p)) = \deg(p) - 1$, for all polynomial p . We then construct a sequence of polynomials $(s_n)_n$, depending on T but not on μ , such that the Wronskian type $n \times n$ determinant $\det (T^{i-1}(p_{m+j-1}(x)))_{i,j=1}^n$ is equal to the $m \times m$ determinant $\det (q_{n+i-1}^{j-1}(x))_{i,j=1}^m$, up to multiplicative constants, where the polynomials q_n^i , $n, i \geq 0$, are defined by $q_n^i(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \mu_j^i s_{n-j}(x)$, and μ_j^i are certain generalized moments of the measure μ . For $T = d/dx$ we recover a known result by Leclerc which extends the well-known Karlin and Szegő identities for Hankel determinants whose entries are ultraspherical, Laguerre and Hermite polynomials. We also show that for certain operators T , the second determinant above can be rewritten in terms of Selberg type integrals, and that for certain operators T and certain families of orthogonal polynomials $(p_n)_n$, one (or both) of these determinants can also be rewritten as the constant term of certain multivariate Laurent expansions. As a consequence, we get some new Selberg type integrals and constant terms identities (as well as new proofs for classical ones).

1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

Determinants whose entries are orthogonal polynomials is a long studied subject. One can mention Turán inequality for Legendre polynomials [21] and its generalizations, specially that of Karlin and Szegő on Hankel determinants whose entries are ultraspherical, Laguerre, Hermite, Charlier, Meixner, Krawtchouk and other families of orthogonal polynomials ([11]). Karlin and Szegő's strategy was to express these Hankel determinants in terms of the Wronskian of certain orthogonal polynomials of another class. However the proofs of Karlin and Szegő did not clearly show what in their formulas resulted from a general algebraic transformation, and what in contrast was due to some particular properties of the orthogonal polynomials under consideration. Leclerc ([15]) clarified this by stating that a Wronskian of orthogonal polynomials is proportional to a Hankel determinant whose elements form a new sequence of polynomials (not necessarily orthogonal). More precisely, let $(a_n)_n$ be a sequence of numbers. With this sequence Leclerc associated two

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42C05, 33C45.

Key words and phrases. Orthogonal polynomials. Difference equations. Discrete classical polynomials. Casorati determinants. Charlier polynomials. Meixner polynomials. Krawtchouk polynomials. Hahn polynomials.

Partially supported by MTM2009-12740-C03-02 (Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad), FQM-262, FQM-4643, FQM-7276 (Junta de Andalucía) and Feder Funds (European Union).

classes of polynomials, $p_n, q_n, n \geq 0$, defined by

$$(1.1) \quad p_n(x) = \begin{vmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & a_{n-1} & 1 \\ a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_n & x \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n-1} & a_n & \cdots & a_{2n-2} & x^{n-1} \\ a_n & a_{n+1} & \cdots & a_{2n-1} & x^n \end{vmatrix},$$

$$(1.2) \quad q_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j \binom{n}{j} (-x)^{n-j}.$$

It is well-known that if $a_n, n \geq 0$, are the moments of a non-degenerate positive measure μ , $a_n = \int t^n d\mu$, the polynomials $(p_n)_n$ are then orthogonal with respect to μ .

Theorem 1.1. (*Theorem 1 of [15]*) *The following identity holds for all integer values of n and $m, n, m \geq 1$,*

$$(1.3) \quad \det \left(p_{m+j-1}^{(i-1)}(x) \right)_{i,j=1}^n = C_{n,m} \det (q_{n+i+j-2}(x))_{i,j=1}^m,$$

where $C_{n,m}$ is independent of x :

$$C_{n,m} = (-1)^{nm} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} k! \det (a_{i+j-2})_{i,j=1}^{k+m}.$$

As usual, we take $\prod_{j=n}^m x_j = 1$ if $m < n$ and $\det(C) = 1$ if the size of C reduces to 0×0 .

In [4], the author has conjectured some identities for Casorati determinants of classical discrete orthogonal polynomials. These conjectures can be considered as a discrete version of the Karlin and Szegő's identities for Hankel and Wronskian determinants of ultraspherical, Laguerre and Hermite polynomials.

Here it is one of these conjectures. Let $(\hat{c}_n^a)_n, a \neq 0$, be the monic Charlier polynomials (see (5.14) below), then for $n, k, m \geq 0$ and $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, we have

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{\det (\hat{c}_{k+j-1}^a(m+i-1))_{i,j=1}^n}{(-a)^{nk} \left(\prod_{j=2}^{n-1} j! \right)} = \frac{\det (\hat{c}_{n+j-1}^{-a}(-k+i-1))_{i,j=1}^m}{a^{nm} \left(\prod_{j=2}^{m-1} j! \right)}.$$

In fact, Karlin and Szegő considered in their paper [11] the determinant in the left hand side of the previous formula (and the corresponding for Meixner, Krawtchouk and Chebyshev), but they were more interested in studying the sign of this determinant that in computing it explicitly.

The purpose of this paper is to show that Leclerc identities for Wronskian of orthogonal polynomials and author conjectures for Casorati determinants of classical discrete orthogonal polynomials are particular cases of a quite more general result.

Indeed, consider a linear operator T acting in the linear space of polynomials $\mathbb{P}$ and satisfying that $\deg(T(p)) = \deg(p) - 1$, for all polynomial p . We associate two sequences of polynomials $(r_n)_n$ and $(s_n)_n$ to this operator T .

- (1) The sequence $(r_n)_n$ satisfies that
 - (a) $r_0 = 1$ and the degree of r_n is $n, n \geq 0$,
 - (b) $T(r_n) = r_{n-1}, n \geq 1$.

It is easy to check that this sequence of polynomials always exists and it is unique if we fix the values of r_n , $n \geq 1$, at a given number x_0 (see Section 4 for more details).

(2) The sequence $(s_n)_n$ are now defined recursively by $s_0 = 1$ and

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{j=0}^n s_j(x) r_{n-j}(x) = 0.$$

We are now ready to establish the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.2. *Consider a linear operator T acting in the linear space of polynomials $\mathbb{P}$ and satisfying that $\deg(T(p)) = \deg(p) - 1$, for all polynomial p . We associate it the two sequences of polynomials $(r_n)_n$ and $(s_n)_n$ as above. Let μ be a measure and consider a sequence $(p_n)_n$ of orthogonal polynomials with respect to μ (see Section 2 for more details). For a given sequence of polynomials $(\psi_i)_i$, ψ_i of degree i , we write μ_j^i , $i, j \geq 0$, for the numbers $\mu_j^i = \int r_j \psi_i d\mu$. We now consider the polynomials q_n^i , $i, n \geq 0$ defined by*

$$(1.6) \quad q_n^i(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \mu_j^i s_{n-j}(x).$$

We then have

$$(1.7) \quad \Omega_{m-1} \det (T^{i-1}(p_{m+j-1}(x)))_{i,j=1}^n = C_{n,m} \det (q_{n+i-1}^{j-1}(x))_{i,j=1}^m,$$

where Ω_{m-1} and $C_{n,m}$ are independent of x :

$$\Omega_{m-1} = \det(\mu_{m-i}^{j-1})_{i,j=1}^m, \quad C_{n,m} = (-1)^{mn + \binom{m}{2}} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\xi_{m+j}}{\sigma_{m+j}}$$

and ξ_n and σ_n are the coefficients of x^n in the power expansion of p_n and r_n , respectively.

Let us note that we have some degrees of freedom in the polynomials q_n^i , $n, i \geq 0$. Indeed, for a given number x_0 , they depend on the numbers $r_n(x_0)$, $n \geq 1$, and on the sequence of polynomials $(\psi_i)_i$.

The content of this paper is as follows. In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.2 (actually a further extension for orthogonal polynomials with respect to a bilinear form defined in $\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}$). The proof is similar to that of Leclerc for Theorem 1.1 and it is based on some Turnbull determinantal identities (see Section 3). Section 5 is devoted to specialize Theorem 1.2 to some relevant operators (such as the derivative, the first order difference operator Δ , the q -derivative D_q , and others). We show that for these operators, the polynomials q_n^i and the determinant in the right hand side of (1.7) have a richer structure and more properties. In particular, the specialization for the first order difference operator Δ will allow us to prove our conjectures in [4] for Casorati determinants of Charlier, Meixner and Krawtchouk orthogonal polynomials.

In Section 6 we show that for certain operators T , the determinant in the right hand side of the previous theorem can be rewritten in terms of Selberg type integrals. Indeed, write $\Lambda(\mathbf{x})$ for the Vandermonde determinant

$$(1.8) \quad \Lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} (x_i - x_j),$$

where $\mathbf{x}$ stands for the multivariable $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$. Given a measure μ , consider the multiple integral

$$(1.9) \quad \int \cdots \int s(\mathbf{x}) \Lambda^{2\gamma}(\mathbf{x}) d\mu(\mathbf{x}),$$

where $d\mu(\mathbf{x}) = d\mu(x_1) \cdots d\mu(x_m)$ and $s(\mathbf{x})$ is a symmetric polynomial in the variables $x_1, \dots, x_m$. It seems to be rather difficult to get explicit formulae for the integral (1.9) even for simple measures μ .

When μ is the Jacobi measure $d\mu = x^{\alpha-1}(1-x)^{\beta-1}dx$, $\alpha, \beta > 0$, and $s(\mathbf{x}) = 1$, the integral (1.9) is the celebrated Selberg integral ([19], see also [7]):

$$\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \Lambda^{2\gamma}(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{j=1}^m x_j^{\alpha-1} (1-x_j)^{\beta-1} d\mathbf{x} = \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + j\gamma) \Gamma(\beta + j\gamma) \Gamma(1 + (j+1)\gamma)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + (m+j-1)\gamma) \Gamma(1 + \gamma)}.$$

Other choices of $s(\mathbf{x})$ give well-known extensions of the Selberg integral. For instance, $s(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{j=1}^m (x_j - u)$ is Aomoto's integral [1], and $s(\mathbf{x}) = J_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_m, 1/\gamma)$, where $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ and J_λ is a Jack polynomial, is Kadell's integral [9].

For $s(\mathbf{x}) = 1$ and μ the Hermite measure $d\mu = e^{-x^2/2}dx$, the integral (1.9) is the also celebrated Mehta integral.

Given a number u and a linear operator T as in Theorem 1.2, we associate it the two sequences of polynomials $(r_n)_n$ and $(s_n)_n$ as above. In this case we take $r_n(u) = 0$, $n \geq 1$ (these conditions define r_n , $n \geq 0$, uniquely). We write $r_n = r_{n,u}$ to stress the dependence of r_n on u . We then have.

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that there are numbers a_j , $j \geq 1$, with $a_1 = u$ and $r_{n,u}(x) = \sigma_n \prod_{j=1}^n (x - a_j)$, $n \geq 1$. Let $(\hat{p}_n)_n$ be the sequence of monic orthogonal polynomials with respect to the measure μ , then*

$$(1.10) \quad \int \cdots \int \Lambda^2(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{j=1}^m r_{n,u}(x_j) d\mu(\mathbf{x}) = C_{T,n,m} \det (T^{i-1}(\hat{p}_{m+j-1}(u)))_{i,j=1}^n,$$

where

$$C_{T,n,m} = (-1)^{mn} m! \sigma_n^m \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \|\hat{p}_j\|^2 \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \sigma_j.$$

For $n = 1$, the identity (1.10) is known (see [20], (2.2.10)).

Theorem 1.3 applies to the three relevant operators $T = d/dx$, $T = \Delta$ and $T = D_q$ since in each case we can choose polynomials r_n , $n \geq 0$, with $T(r_n) = r_{n-1}$ and satisfying the hypothesis in Theorem 1.3. We can then deduce some Selberg type integrals and sums corresponding to $\gamma = 1$ in (1.9). For instance, for $T = d/dx$, $n = 0$, $u = 0$, and μ the Jacobi weight, we recover the Selberg integral for $\gamma = 1$. The case $n = 1$ and again $\gamma = 1$ is Aomoto's result for (1.9) when $s(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{j=1}^m (x_j - u)$ ([1]). For $T = \Delta$, $n = 0$, $u = 0$ and μ the Meixner, Krawtchouk or Hahn weights Theorem 1.3 gives a deduction for Askey conjectures 5, 6 and 7, respectively, in [2] when $\gamma = 1$. The case $n = 1$ and again $\gamma = 1$ can be considered a discrete version of Aomoto's integral. Similar results can be obtained for some of the q -classical weights and Askey conjectures for q -Selberg integrals.

Theorem 1.3 also gives the following Selberg type sum related to the Racah weight (as far as the author knows it has not been considered previously in the literature). Let N, β, γ, δ be real numbers satisfying that N is a positive integer

and $\delta, \gamma, -\beta + \gamma \neq -1, \dots, -N$, $\beta + \delta \neq -1, \dots, -2N - 1$. Then for $m \geq 1$ and $0 \leq n \leq N$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_m=n}^N \Lambda^2(\mathbf{x}_\lambda) \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{(-N, \beta + \delta + 1, \gamma + 1, (\gamma + \delta + 3)/2)_{x_j} (\gamma + \delta + 1)_{x_j+n}}{(N + \gamma + \delta + 2, -\beta + \gamma + 1, (\gamma + \delta + 1)/2, \delta + 1)_{x_j} (x_j - n)!} \\ = (-1)^{mn} M^m \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{(j+1)! (\beta - \gamma - N, -\delta - N, \beta + 1)_j (-N, \beta + \delta + 1, \gamma + 1)_{n+j}}{(\beta - N + 1)_{2j} (\beta - N + j)_j (\beta - N + m + j)_n}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{x}_\lambda = (\lambda(x_1), \dots, \lambda(x_m))$, $\lambda(x) = x(x + \gamma + \delta + 1)$, $M = \frac{(-\beta)_N (\gamma + \delta + 2)_N}{(-\beta + \gamma + 1)_N (\delta + 1)_N}$, $(u_1, \dots, u_k)_j = (u_1)_j \cdots (u_k)_j$ and $(a)_n$ stands for the Pochhammer symbol defined by $(a)_0 = 1$, $(a)_n = a(a+1) \cdots (a+n-1)$, $n \geq 1$.

In Section 7, we show that for certain operators T and certain families of orthogonal polynomials $(p_n)_n$, one (or both) of the determinants in (1.7) can be rewritten as the constant term of certain multivariate Laurent expansions. This is not surprising, because certain identities for constant term of Laurent polynomials are closely related to Selberg integrals. The first of these constant term identities was conjectured by Dyson [5] in 1962 in his study of the statistical theory of complex systems:

$$\text{C.T.}|_{\mathbf{z}=0} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left(1 - \frac{z_i}{z_j}\right)^k \left(1 - \frac{z_j}{z_i}\right)^k = \frac{(kn)!}{(k!)^n},$$

where we denote by $\text{C.T.}|_{\mathbf{z}=0} F(\mathbf{z})$ the constant term of the Laurent expansion at $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n) = (0, \dots, 0)$ of $F(\mathbf{z})$.

Macdonald [16] in 1982 related Dyson identity with the root system A_{n-1} and posed some other conjectures which generalize Dyson constant term identity to all finite root systems. Morris [17] also proposed some constant term identities related to root systems and Selberg integrals. The following one can be considered a contour version of Selberg integral:

(1.11)

$$\text{C.T.}|_{\mathbf{z}=0} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{(1 - \frac{z_j}{a})^x}{z_j^{m+k(n-1)}} \Lambda^{2k}(\mathbf{z}) = (-1)^{k \binom{n}{2} + mn} a^{-mn} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(x + kj)!(k(j+1))!}{(x - m + kj)!(m + kj)!k!},$$

where x, m, k, n are nonnegative integers, $m \leq x$ and $a \neq 0$.

For $T = \Delta$ and μ the Meixner measure

$$\mu = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^x \Gamma(x+c)}{x!} \delta_x,$$

Theorem 1.2 gives a generalization of Morris identity (1.11) for $k = 1$. Indeed, using the integral representation for the Meixner polynomials $(m_n^{a,c})_n$ provided by the generating function

$$\left(1 - \frac{z}{a}\right)^x (1 - z)^{-x-c} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m_n^{a,c}(x) z^n,$$

we prove the following constant term identity:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{C.T.}|_{\mathbf{z}=0} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{(1 - \frac{z_j}{a})^x}{(1 - z_j)^{x+c+n-1} z_j^{m+n-1}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (z_i - z_j)^2 \\ = (-1)^{\binom{m}{2} + \binom{n}{2} + nm} n! a^{\binom{m}{2}} \det \left(m_{n+i-1}^{a, -c-n-i-j+3} (-x+j-1) \right)_{i,j=1}^m. \end{aligned}$$

For $c = -x - n + 1$, the determinant in the right hand side of this identity can be easily computed, and we recover Morris identity (1.11) for $k = 1$. For $m = 1$, we get

$$\text{C.T.}|_{\mathbf{z}=0} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{(1 - \frac{z_j}{a})^x}{(1 - z_j)^{x+c+n-1} z_j^n} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (z_i - z_j)^2 = (-1)^{\binom{n+1}{2}} n! m_n^{a, -c-n+1} (-x).$$

which it can be considered a contour integral version of Aomoto's integral [1].

We find other constant term identities using ultraspherical and Charlier polynomials.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $\langle, \rangle$ be a bilinear form acting in the linear space of polynomials: $\langle, \rangle : \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Let K be a positive integer or infinity. We say that the polynomials p_n , $0 \leq n \leq K$, are pseudo-orthogonal with respect to $\langle, \rangle$ if $\langle p_n, p_j \rangle = 0$, $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ and $1 \leq n \leq K$. If, in addition, p_n has degree n , $0 \leq n \leq K$, we say that $(p_n)_{n=0}^K$ is a sequence of orthogonal polynomials with respect to $\langle, \rangle$. It is clear that the n -th orthogonal polynomial with respect to a bilinear form, if there exists, is unique up to multiplicative constants.

Let $(r_n)_{n=0}^K$ and $(\psi_n)_{n=0}^K$ be two sequences of polynomials satisfying that $\deg(r_n) = \deg(\psi_n) = n$. Write σ_n for the leading coefficient of r_n . Write also $\mu_j^i = \langle r_j, \psi_i \rangle$, $0 \leq i, j \leq K$. We can then construct pseudo orthogonal polynomials p_n , $n = 0, \dots, K$, with respect to $\langle, \rangle$ using the formula

$$(2.1) \quad p_n(x) = \begin{vmatrix} \mu_n^0 & \mu_n^1 & \cdots & \mu_n^{n-1} & r_n(x) \\ \mu_{n-1}^0 & \mu_{n-1}^1 & \cdots & \mu_{n-1}^{n-1} & r_{n-1}(x) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mu_0^0 & \mu_0^1 & \cdots & \mu_0^{n-1} & 1 \end{vmatrix}, \quad 1 \leq n \leq K, \quad p_0 = 1.$$

Indeed, from the definition, we straightforwardly have that $\langle p_n, \psi_j \rangle = 0$, $j = 0, \dots, n-1$. Since $\deg(r_j) = j$, we have that $\deg(p_n) \leq n$, and since $\deg(\psi_j) = j$ as well, we can write each p_j as a linear combination of ψ_i , $i = 0, \dots, j$. As a consequence we get $\langle p_n, p_j \rangle = 0$, $j = 0, \dots, n-1$.

Consider now the determinants Ω_n , $n \geq 0$, defined by

$$(2.2) \quad \Omega_n = \begin{vmatrix} \mu_n^0 & \cdots & \mu_n^n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mu_0^0 & \cdots & \mu_0^n \end{vmatrix}.$$

It is clear from (2.1) that the coefficient of x^n in the power expansion of p_n is $(-1)^n \Omega_{n-1} \sigma_n$.

Hence, the bilinear form $\langle, \rangle$ has a sequence p_n , $n = 0, \dots, K$, of orthogonal polynomials if and only if $\Omega_n \neq 0$, $0 \leq n \leq K$. We can then construct a sequence

of monic orthogonal polynomials $(\hat{p}_n)_{n=0}^K$, $\hat{p}_n$ of degree n , using the formula (2.3)

$$\hat{p}_n(x) = \frac{(-1)^n}{\Omega_{n-1}\sigma_n} \begin{vmatrix} \mu_n^0 & \mu_n^1 & \cdots & \mu_n^{n-1} & r_n(x) \\ \mu_{n-1}^0 & \mu_{n-1}^1 & \cdots & \mu_{n-1}^{n-1} & r_{n-1}(x) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mu_0^0 & \mu_0^1 & \cdots & \mu_0^{n-1} & 1 \end{vmatrix}, \quad 1 \leq n \leq K, \quad p_0 = 1.$$

The determinant Ω_n can be computed in terms of the norm of the monic polynomials. Indeed, write $\|\hat{p}_n\|^2 = \langle \hat{p}_n, \hat{p}_n \rangle$. If we write v_n for the leading coefficient of ψ_n , we have $\psi_n = v_n \hat{p}_n + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \alpha_{n,j} \hat{p}_j$. And hence $\langle \hat{p}_n, \psi_n \rangle = \bar{v}_n \|\hat{p}_n\|^2$. Using (2.3), we also get $\langle \hat{p}_n, \psi_n \rangle = (-1)^n \Omega_n / (\Omega_{n-1} \sigma_n)$. From where we get

$$(2.4) \quad \Omega_n = (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} \prod_{j=0}^n \sigma_j \bar{v}_j \|\hat{p}_j\|^2.$$

In this paper, we are mainly interested in bilinear form defined by a measure μ supported in the real line: $\langle p, q \rangle = \int p \bar{q} d\mu$.

A bilinear form can be represented by a measure supported in the real line if and only if it is Hermitian ($\langle p, q \rangle = \overline{\langle q, p \rangle}$, $p, q \in \mathbb{P}$) and the operator of multiplication by x is symmetric with respect to the bilinear form: $\langle xp, q \rangle = \langle p, xq \rangle$, $p, q \in \mathbb{P}$.

We need some combinatorial identities which we include in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $n, i, j, g \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i \leq g \leq n+i$, we have*

$$(2.5) \quad \binom{x}{i} = \sum_{l=0}^i \binom{j}{i-l} \binom{x-j}{l},$$

$$(2.6) \quad \binom{g}{i} \binom{-x+i}{n+i-g} = \sum_{j=0}^{\min(n,g)} \binom{j}{i+j-g} \binom{g}{g-j} \binom{-x}{n-j}.$$

Proof. Both identities can be easily proved from the basic identity $\binom{u}{v} = \binom{u-1}{v} + \binom{u-1}{v-1}$ using induction on x . □

3. LECLERC VERSION OF TURNBULL DETERMINANTAL IDENTITIES

In this section, we recall briefly Leclerc notation of [14] for minor identities (which is a variant of Turnbull's dot notation, [22], p. 27). Let M be a $n \times p$ matrix with $p > n$ and $a, b, c, \dots, d$ be n column vectors of M . The maximal minor of M taken on these n columns is denoted by either a bracket or a one line tableau:

$$[ab \cdots c] = \boxed{a \quad b \quad \cdots \quad c}.$$

A product of k minors of M is designated by a $k \times n$ tableau. For instance, for $k = 2$:

$$[ab \cdots c] \cdot [de \cdots f] = \boxed{\begin{array}{cccc} a & b & \cdots & c \\ d & e & \cdots & f \end{array}}.$$

To denote alternating sums of products of minors, tableaux with boxes enclosing certain vectors are used. Let T be a $k \times n$ tableau and A a subset of elements of T . Given a permutation σ of the elements of A , denote by $\sigma(T)$ the tableau in which

the elements of A are permuted by σ . Now, boxing in T the elements of A , we get a new tableau τ which will represent the alternating sum of all tableaux $\sigma(T)$, taking into account the fact that a permutation of elements of the same row gives a trivial action. More precisely τ is defined by

$$\tau = \sum_{\sigma} \text{sign}(\sigma) \sigma(T),$$

where σ runs through the cosets of the symmetric group $\Sigma(A)$ modulo the subgroup of the permutations which leave unchanged the rows of T . For example,

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \boxed{a} & \boxed{b} & c \\ \hline d & e & \boxed{f} \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline a & b & c \\ \hline d & e & f \\ \hline \end{array} - \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline f & b & c \\ \hline d & e & a \\ \hline \end{array} - \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline a & f & c \\ \hline d & e & b \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

We can now state Turnbull's identity (see [22], p. 48 and [14]).

Theorem 3.1. *Let τ be a $p \times n$ tableau with set of enclosed elements A of cardinality $\leq n$. Let R be one of the rows of T and denote by B the set of elements of R which are not enclosed. Form a new tableau ν by (i) exchanging the elements of A which do not belong to R with elements of B ; (ii) removing the boxes of the elements of A ; (iii) boxing the elements of B ; then $\tau = \nu$.*

Take for instance

$$\tau = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline \boxed{a} & \alpha & \beta & \gamma & \delta & \epsilon \\ \hline \boxed{b} & \boxed{c} & f & g & h & i \\ \hline \boxed{d} & j & k & l & m & o \\ \hline \end{array}$$

and choosing for R the first row, we have $A = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $B = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \epsilon\}$ and we obtain

$$\tau = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline \boxed{a} & \alpha & \beta & \gamma & \delta & \epsilon \\ \hline \boxed{b} & \boxed{c} & f & g & h & i \\ \hline \boxed{d} & j & k & l & m & o \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline a & b & c & d & \boxed{\delta} & \boxed{\epsilon} \\ \hline \boxed{\alpha} & \boxed{\beta} & f & g & h & i \\ \hline \boxed{\delta} & j & k & l & m & o \\ \hline \end{array} = \nu$$

Note that here τ represents a sum of 12 products of minors, whereas ν stands for a sum of 30 products.

4. WRONSKIAN TYPE DETERMINANTS OF ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS

We are now ready to establish and prove the main result of this paper.

Consider a linear operator T acting in the linear space of polynomials $\mathbb{P}$ and satisfying that $\deg(T(p)) = \deg(p) - 1$, for all polynomial p . We associate two sequences of polynomials $(r_n)_n$ and $(s_n)_n$ to this operator T . The sequence $(r_n)_n$ satisfies that

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} r_0 = 1 \text{ and the degree of } r_n \text{ is } n, n \geq 0, \\ T(r_n) = r_{n-1}, n \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

This sequence of polynomials always exists and it is unique if we fix the values of r_n , $n \geq 1$, at a given number x_0 . Indeed, fix a sequence of numbers $(\xi_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and assume that we have constructed the polynomials r_j , $j = 0, \dots, n$, satisfying

$r_j(x_0) = \xi_j$ and (4.1). Since $T((x - x_0)^{n+1})$ is a polynomial of degree n , we can write $T((x - x_0)^{n+1}) = \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j r_j$, with $\alpha_n \neq 0$. We then define

$$r_{n+1}(x) = \frac{(x - x_0)^{n+1} - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \alpha_j (r_{j+1}(x) - \xi_{j+1})}{\alpha_n} + \xi_{n+1}.$$

From where we easily get that r_{n+1} has degree $n+1$, $T(r_{n+1}) = r_n$ and $r_{n+1}(x_0) = \xi_{n+1}$.

The sequence $(s_n)_n$ are now defined recursively by $s_0 = 1$ and

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{j=0}^n s_j(x) r_{n-j}(x) = 0.$$

It is easy to see that if we write $\Psi_r(x, t)$, $\Psi_s(x, t)$ for the (formal) generating functions of the sequences $(r_n)_n$, $(s_n)_n$, respectively,

$$(4.3) \quad \Psi_r(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_n(x) t^n, \quad \Psi_s(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n(x) t^n,$$

we have $\Psi_r(x, t) \Psi_s(x, t) = 1$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let T be a linear operator acting in the linear space of polynomials satisfying $\deg(T(p)) = \deg(p) - 1$, for all polynomial p . We associate it the two sequences of polynomials $(r_n)_n$ and $(s_n)_n$ defined as above (see (4.1) and (4.2)). Let $\langle, \rangle$ be a bilinear form acting in the linear space of polynomials. For a given sequence of polynomials $(\psi_i)_i$, ψ_i of degree i , we write $\mu_j^i = \langle r_j, \psi_i \rangle$, $0 \leq i, j$. With the operator T and the bilinear form $\langle, \rangle$ we associate the polynomials p_n, q_n^i , $n, i \geq 0$. The polynomials p_n , $n \geq 0$, are the pseudo orthogonal polynomials with respect to $\langle, \rangle$ defined by $p_0 = 1$ and for $n \geq 1$*

$$(4.4) \quad p_n(x) = \begin{vmatrix} \mu_n^0 & \mu_n^1 & \cdots & \mu_n^{n-1} & r_n \\ \mu_{n-1}^0 & \mu_{n-1}^1 & \cdots & \mu_{n-1}^{n-1} & r_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mu_0^0 & \mu_0^1 & \cdots & \mu_0^{n-1} & 1 \end{vmatrix}.$$

The polynomials q_n^i , $n, i \geq 0$, are defined by

$$(4.5) \quad q_n^i(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \mu_j^i s_{n-j}(x).$$

We then have

$$(4.6) \quad \det (T^{i-1}(p_{m+j-1}))_{i,j=1}^n = C_{n,m} \det (q_{n+m-i}^{j-1})_{i,j=1}^m,$$

where $C_{n,m} = (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} \prod_{j=0}^{n-2} \Omega_{m+j}$ is independent of x (and Ω_n is defined by (2.2)).

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Leclerc for Theorem 1.1 in the Introduction (see [15]).

Let us introduce the $(m+n) \times (m+3n-2)$ matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \mu_{m+n-1}^0 & \mu_{m+n-1}^1 & \cdots & \mu_{m+n-1}^{m+n-2} & r_{m+n-1} & T(r_{m+n-1}) & \cdots & T^{n-1}(r_{m+n-1}) \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & \mu_{m+n-2}^0 & \mu_{m+n-2}^1 & \cdots & \mu_{m+n-2}^{m+n-2} & r_{m+n-2} & T(r_{m+n-2}) & \cdots & T^{n-1}(r_{m+n-2}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & \mu_{m+1}^0 & \mu_{m+1}^1 & \cdots & \mu_{m+1}^{m+n-2} & r_{m+1} & T(r_{m+1}) & \cdots & T^{n-1}(r_{m+1}) \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \mu_m^0 & \mu_m^1 & \cdots & \mu_m^{m+n-2} & r_m & T(r_m) & \cdots & T^{n-1}(r_m) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \mu_0^0 & \mu_0^1 & \cdots & \mu_0^{m+n-2} & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The column vectors of this matrix will be denoted from left to right by:

$$\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \dots, \mathbf{n-1}, \mu^0, \mu^1, \dots, \mu^{m+n-2}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{r}), \dots, \mathbf{T}^{n-1}(\mathbf{r}).$$

Using the notation of Section 3, the left-hand side of (4.6) is written as

$$\begin{vmatrix} [\mathbf{12} \cdots \mathbf{n-1} \mu^0 \cdots \mu^{m-1} \mathbf{r}] & [\mathbf{12} \cdots \mathbf{n-2} \mu^0 \cdots \mu^m \mathbf{r}] & \cdots & [\mu^0 \cdots \mu^{m+n-2} \mathbf{r}] \\ [\mathbf{12} \cdots \mathbf{n-1} \mu^0 \cdots \mu^{m-1} \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{r})] & [\mathbf{12} \cdots \mathbf{n-2} \mu^0 \cdots \mu^m \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{r})] & \cdots & [\mu^0 \cdots \mu^{m+n-2} \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{r})] \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ [\mathbf{1} \cdots \mathbf{n-1} \mu^0 \cdots \mu^{m-1} \mathbf{T}^{n-1}(\mathbf{r})] & [\mathbf{1} \cdots \mathbf{n-2} \mu^0 \cdots \mu^m \mathbf{T}^{n-1}(\mathbf{r})] & \cdots & [\mu^0 \cdots \mu^{m+n-2} \mathbf{T}^{n-1}(\mathbf{r})] \end{vmatrix}$$

Now using the transformation of Theorem 3.1 with R being the last row, this tableau is equal to

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cccccccccc} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{2} & \cdots & \mathbf{n-2} & \mathbf{n-1} & \mu^0 & \mu^1 & \cdots & \mu^{m-1} & \boxed{\mu^m} \\ \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{2} & \cdots & \mathbf{n-2} & & \mu^0 & \mu^1 & \mu^2 & \cdots & \mu^m & \boxed{\mu^{m+1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & & & & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{1} & \mu^0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \mu^{m+n-3} & \boxed{\mu^{m+n-2}} \\ \boxed{\mu^0} & \boxed{\mu^1} & \cdots & \cdots & \boxed{\mu^{m-1}} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{r}) & \cdots & \mathbf{T}^{n-2}(\mathbf{r}) & \mathbf{T}^{n-1}(\mathbf{r}) \end{array} \\ = \begin{array}{cccccccccc} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{2} & \cdots & \mathbf{n-2} & \mathbf{n-1} & \mu^0 & \mu^1 & \cdots & \mu^{m-1} & \mu^m \\ \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{2} & \cdots & \mathbf{n-2} & & \mu^0 & \mu^1 & \mu^2 & \cdots & \mu^m & \mu^{m+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & & & & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{1} & \mu^0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \mu^{m+n-3} & \mu^{m+n-2} \\ \mu^0 & \mu^1 & \cdots & \cdots & \mu^{m-1} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{r}) & \cdots & \mathbf{T}^{n-2}(\mathbf{r}) & \mathbf{T}^{n-1}(\mathbf{r}) \end{array} \end{array}$$

Note that all boxes have been deleted in the second tableau because all the other permutations of the letters enclosed give rise to tableaux with two equal letters on some row, which are therefore equal to zero in view of the skew-symmetry of the determinant. Thus, we have rewritten the Wronskian type determinant of (4.6) as a product of n determinants, where only the last one depends on the variable x . Explicitly, we have obtained that the left-hand side of (4.6) is equal to

$$\Omega_{m+n-2} \Omega_{m+n-3} \cdots \Omega_m \Theta(x)$$

where

$$\Theta(x) = \begin{vmatrix} \mu_{m+n-1}^0 & \mu_{m+n-1}^1 & \cdots & \mu_{m+n-1}^{m-1} & r_{m+n-1} & T(r_{m+n-1}) & \cdots & T^{n-1}(r_{m+n-1}) \\ \mu_{m+n-2}^0 & \mu_{m+n-2}^1 & \cdots & \mu_{m+n-2}^{m-1} & r_{m+n-2} & T(r_{m+n-2}) & \cdots & T^{n-1}(r_{m+n-2}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \mu_1^0 & \mu_1^1 & \cdots & \mu_1^{m-1} & r_1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \mu_0^0 & \mu_0^1 & \cdots & \mu_0^{m-1} & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{vmatrix},$$

and Ω_n is defined by (2.2). Taking into account that $T(r_n) = r_{n-1}$, we have

$$\Theta(x) = \begin{vmatrix} \mu_{m+n-1}^0 & \mu_{m+n-1}^1 & \cdots & \mu_{m+n-1}^{m-1} & r_{m+n-1} & r_{m+n-2} & \cdots & r_m \\ \mu_{m+n-2}^0 & \mu_{m+n-2}^1 & \cdots & \mu_{m+n-2}^{m-1} & r_{m+n-2} & r_{m+n-3} & \cdots & r_{m-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \mu_1^0 & \mu_1^1 & \cdots & \mu_1^{m-1} & r_1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \mu_0^0 & \mu_0^1 & \cdots & \mu_0^{m-1} & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{vmatrix}.$$

We now add to the first row of this determinant the linear combination of the next $m+n-1$ rows multiplying the j -th row by $s_{j-1}(x)$, $j = 2, \dots, m+n$. (4.5) and (4.2) then give

$$\Theta(x) = \begin{vmatrix} q_{m+n-1}^0 & q_{m+n-1}^1 & \cdots & q_{m+n-1}^{m-1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \mu_{m+n-2}^0 & \mu_{m+n-2}^1 & \cdots & \mu_{m+n-2}^{m-1} & r_{m+n-2} & r_{m+n-3} & \cdots & r_{m-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \mu_1^0 & \mu_1^1 & \cdots & \mu_1^{m-1} & r_1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \mu_0^0 & \mu_0^1 & \cdots & \mu_0^{m-1} & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{vmatrix}.$$

In a similar way, we add to the second row of this determinant the linear combination of the next $m+n-2$ rows multiplying the j -th row by $s_{j-2}(x)$, $j = 3, \dots, m+n$. (4.5) and (4.2) then give

$$\Theta(x) = \begin{vmatrix} q_{m+n-1}^0 & q_{m+n-1}^1 & \cdots & q_{m+n-1}^{m-1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ q_{m+n-2}^0 & q_{m+n-2}^1 & \cdots & q_{m+n-2}^{m-1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \mu_{m+n-3}^0 & \mu_{m+n-3}^1 & \cdots & \mu_{m+n-3}^{m-1} & r_{m+n-3} & r_{m+n-4} & \cdots & r_{m-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \mu_1^0 & \mu_1^1 & \cdots & \mu_1^{m-1} & r_1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \mu_0^0 & \mu_0^1 & \cdots & \mu_0^{m-1} & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

Proceeding in the same way m times we have

$$\Theta(x) = \begin{vmatrix} q_{m+n-1}^0 & q_{m+n-1}^1 & \cdots & q_{m+n-1}^{m-1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ q_n^0 & q_n^1 & \cdots & q_n^{m-1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \mu_{n-1}^0 & \mu_{n-1}^1 & \cdots & \mu_{n-1}^{m-1} & r_{n-1} & r_{n-2} & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \mu_0^0 & \mu_0^1 & \cdots & \mu_0^{m-1} & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{vmatrix}.$$

And hence

$$\Theta(x) = (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} \begin{vmatrix} q_{m+n-1}^0 & q_{m+n-1}^1 & \cdots & q_{m+n-1}^{m-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ q_n^0 & q_n^1 & \cdots & q_n^{m-1} \end{vmatrix}.$$

This finishes the proof of the Theorem. $\square$

Theorem 1.2 in the Introduction is an easy corollary of Theorem 4.1 just taking into account the expression (2.3) for the monic orthogonal polynomials $\hat{p}_n$ with respect to a measure and that $p_n = \xi_n \hat{p}_n$.

5. SPECIALIZATION TO SOME RELEVANT OPERATORS

For some relevant operators the structure of the determinant in the right hand side of (1.7) is richer. Indeed, as we can see in Leclerc identity (1.3), for the derivative the polynomials ψ_i , $i \geq 0$, can be taken so that this determinant has a Hankel structure. That also happens for the q -derivative. For the first order linear operator Δ there are also choices of the polynomials ψ_i , $i \geq 0$, so that this determinant has almost a Hankel structure. There also are other operators for which the polynomials ψ_i , $i \geq 0$, can be taken so that the determinant in the right hand side of (1.7) has a Toeplitz structure. The operators defined by $T_\mu(p_n) = p_{n-1}$, where $(p_n)_n$ is a sequence of orthogonal polynomials with respect to any given measure μ , are among these operators.

On the other hand, these relevant operators have associated distinguished sequences of orthogonal polynomials for which the polynomials ψ_i , $i \geq 0$, can be taken so that the polynomials q_n^i , $n, i \geq 0$, are again orthogonal. That is the case of the classical families of Laguerre and Jacobi for the derivative and the classical discrete families of Charlier, Meixner, Krawtchouk and Hahn for the first order difference operator. For these examples, the polynomials q_n^i belong, up to a change of variable, to the same family (but with different parameters). The case of the q -derivative is more involve: when one considers the q -classical families the polynomials q_n^i might not belong to the same family but to a relative one. For certain measures μ (for instance, Laguerre, ultraspherical, Charlier and Meixner measures) and the corresponding operator T_μ (defined as above), the polynomials ψ_i , $i \geq 0$, can also be taken so that the polynomials q_n^i , $n, i \geq 0$, belong, up to a change of variable, to the same family (but with different parameters).

5.1. The derivative. In the case of the derivative, $T = d/dx$, we can take

$$(5.1) \quad r_n(x) = \frac{(x - x_0)^n}{n!},$$

$$(5.2) \quad \psi_i(x) = (x - x_0)^i,$$

where x_0 is a fixed number. A simple computation then shows that

$$(5.3) \quad s_n(x) = \frac{(-x + x_0)^n}{n!},$$

(see (4.1) and (4.2) for the definition of the polynomials r_n, s_n , $n \geq 0$.) If we write $\mu_n = \int (x - x_0)^n d\mu$ for the generalized moments of the measure μ , we then have

$$(5.4) \quad \mu_j^i = \int \psi_i r_j d\mu = \int \frac{(x - x_0)^{i+j}}{j!} d\mu = \frac{\mu_{i+j}}{j!}.$$

From where it easily follows that $q_n^{i+1} - (x - x_0)q_n^i = (n+1)q_{n+1}^i$, and for $x_0 = 0$ $q_n = n!q_n^0$, where q_n, q_n^i , $n, i \geq 0$, are the polynomials defined by (1.2) and (1.6), respectively. This shows that the determinant in the right hand side of (1.7) can be reduced to the Hankel determinant in the right hand side of Leclerc identity (1.3).

We now check that for the classical families of Laguerre and Jacobi, the number x_0 and the sequence of polynomials $(\psi_n)_n$ can be chosen such that the polynomials q_n^i belong, up to a change of variable, to the same family (but with different parameters).

5.1.1. *Jacobi polynomials.* For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha + \beta \neq -1, -2, \dots$, we use the standard definition of the Jacobi polynomials $(P_n^{\alpha, \beta})_n$ in terms of the hypergeometric function

$$(5.5) \quad P_n^{\alpha, \beta}(x) = \binom{n + \alpha}{n} \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{2^{-j} (n + \alpha + \beta + 1)_j}{(\alpha + 1)_j} \binom{n}{j} (x - 1)^j$$

(that and the next formulas can be found in [6], pp. 169-173).

For $\alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta \neq -1, -2, \dots$, they are orthogonal with respect to a measure $\mu_{\alpha, \beta} = \mu_{\alpha, \beta}(x)dx$, which we normalize by taking

$$\int \mu_{\alpha, \beta}(x)dx = 2^{\alpha + \beta + 1} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(\beta + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 2)}.$$

We write $c_{\alpha, \beta}$ for the constant in the right hand side of this identity.

The measure $\mu_{\alpha, \beta}$ is positive only when $\alpha, \beta > -1$, and then

$$(5.6) \quad \mu_{\alpha, \beta}(x) = (1 - x)^\alpha (1 + x)^\beta, \quad -1 < x < 1.$$

We now show that by choosing $x_0 = 1$, the polynomials q_n^i , $n, i \geq 0$, are, up to a change of variable, again Jacobi polynomials (but with different parameters). Indeed, we have that

$$\mu_n^0 = \int (x - 1)^n \mu_{\alpha, \beta}(x)dx = c_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{(-2)^n (\alpha + 1)_n}{(\alpha + \beta + 2)_n}.$$

Taking into account the definition of the polynomials q_n^i (1.6) and (5.4), we have

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} q_n^i(x) &= c_{\alpha, \beta} \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(-2)^{i+j} (\alpha + 1)_{i+j}}{(\alpha + \beta + 2)_{i+j} j!} \frac{(1 - x)^{n-j}}{(n - j)!} \\ &= c_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{(-2)^i (\alpha + 1)_i}{n! (\alpha + \beta + 2)_i} \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(-2)^j (\alpha + i + 1)_j}{(\alpha + \beta + i + 2)_j} \binom{n}{j} (1 - x)^{n-j}. \end{aligned}$$

The definition (5.5) for the Jacobi polynomials gives

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} (1 - x)^n P_n^{\alpha + \beta + i + 1, -n - \beta - 1} \left(\frac{x + 3}{x - 1} \right) &= \binom{n + \alpha + \beta + i + 1}{n} \\ &\times \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(-2)^j (\alpha + i + 1)_j}{(\alpha + \beta + i + 2)_j} \binom{n}{j} (1 - x)^{n-j}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing (5.7) and (5.8), we deduce that

$$q_n^i(x) = \frac{(-2)^i c_{\alpha, \beta} (\alpha + 1)_i}{(\alpha + \beta + 2)_{n+i}} (1 - x)^n P_n^{\alpha + \beta + i + 1, -n - \beta - 1} \left(\frac{x + 3}{x - 1} \right).$$

5.1.2. *Laguerre polynomials.* For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we use the standard definition of the Laguerre polynomials $(L_n^\alpha)_n$

$$(5.9) \quad L_n^\alpha(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n+\alpha}{n-j} \frac{(-x)^j}{j!}$$

(that and the next formulas can be found in [6], pp. 188-192).

For $\alpha \neq -1, -2, \dots$, they are orthogonal with respect to a measure $\mu_\alpha = \mu_\alpha(x)dx$, which we normalize by taking

$$\int \mu_\alpha(x)dx = \Gamma(\alpha + 1).$$

The measure μ_α is positive only when $\alpha > -1$, and then

$$(5.10) \quad \mu_\alpha(x) = x^\alpha e^{-x}, \quad 0 < x.$$

One can see that by choosing $x_0 = 0$, the polynomials q_n^i , $n, i \geq 0$, are, up to a change of variable, again Laguerre polynomials (but with different parameters). Indeed, proceeding as before for the Jacobi polynomials, we deduce that

$$q_n^i(x) = (-1)^n \Gamma(\alpha + i + 1) L_n^{-\alpha-i-n-1}(-x).$$

5.2. **The first order difference operator Δ .** For the first order difference operator, $T = \Delta$, we take

$$(5.11) \quad r_n(x) = \binom{x}{n}, \quad \psi_i(x) = \binom{x}{i}.$$

A simple computation then shows that

$$(5.12) \quad s_n(x) = \binom{-x}{n}.$$

Using (2.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_j^i &= \int \psi_i r_j d\mu = \int \binom{x}{i} \binom{x}{j} d\mu = \sum_{l=0}^i \binom{j}{i-l} \int \binom{x-j}{l} \binom{x}{j} d\mu \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^i \binom{j}{i-l} \int \binom{j+l}{l} \binom{x}{j+l} d\mu = \sum_{l=0}^i \binom{j}{i-l} \binom{j+l}{l} \mu_{j+l}^0. \end{aligned}$$

Using now (2.6), we find

$$\begin{aligned} q_n^i &= \sum_{j=0}^n \mu_j^i \binom{-x}{n-j} = \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{l=0}^i \binom{j}{i-l} \binom{j+l}{l} \mu_{j+l}^0 \binom{-x}{n-j} \\ &= \sum_{g=i}^{n+i} \mu_g^0 \sum_{j=0}^{\min(n,g)} \binom{j}{i+j-g} \binom{g}{g-j} \binom{-x}{n-j} = \sum_{g=i}^{n+i} \mu_g^0 \binom{g}{i} \binom{-x+i}{n+i-g} \\ (5.13) \quad &= \sum_{g=0}^n \mu_{g+i}^0 \binom{g+i}{i} \binom{-x+i}{n-g}. \end{aligned}$$

This formula for q_n^i allows us to show that the determinant in the right hand side of (1.7) has almost a Hankel structure. Indeed, a simple computation using (5.13) gives that

$$q_n^{i+1}(x) + \frac{-x+i+1}{i+1}q_n^i(x) = \frac{n+1}{i+1}q_{n+1}^i(x-1).$$

If we write $q_n = n!q_n^0$, it is easy to see that the determinant in the right hand side of (1.7) reduces to

$$\frac{1}{n!^m(1+i)^{m(m-1)/2}} \det (q_{n+i+j-2}(x-j+1))_{i,j=1}^m.$$

For the classical discrete families of Charlier, Meixner, Krawtchouk and Hahn, the polynomials q_n^i , $n, i \geq 0$, are actually polynomials of the same family (but with different parameters) in the variable $-x+i$. This will allow us to prove our conjectures in [4] on Casorati determinants for Charlier, Meixner and Krawtchouk orthogonal polynomials.

5.2.1. Charlier polynomials. The monic Charlier polynomials are defined by

$$(5.14) \quad \hat{c}_n^a(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n (-a)^{n-j} \binom{n}{j} \binom{x}{j} j!, \quad a \neq 0,$$

(that and the next formulas can be found in [3], pp. 170-1; see also [13], pp. 247-9 or [18], ch. 2). The Charlier polynomials $(\hat{c}_n^a)_n$ are orthogonal with respect to the discrete measure

$$(5.15) \quad \rho_a = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a^x}{x!} \delta_x.$$

The norm of the monic Charlier polynomials is given by

$$(5.16) \quad \|\hat{c}_n^a\|^2 = e^a n! a^n.$$

We now show that the polynomials q_n^i , $n, i \geq 0$, are, up to a linear change of variable, again Charlier polynomials (but with parameter $-a$). Indeed, a simple computation gives $\mu_j^0 = \int \binom{x}{j} d\rho_a = e^a a^j / j!$. Using (5.13) we get

$$\begin{aligned} q_n^i(x) &= e^a \sum_{g=0}^n \frac{a^{g+i}}{(g+i)!} \binom{g+i}{i} \binom{-x+i}{n-g} \\ &= \frac{e^a a^i}{i! n!} \sum_{g=0}^n a^g \binom{n}{n-g} \binom{-x+i}{n-g} (n-g)! \\ (5.17) \quad &= \frac{e^a a^i}{i! n!} \hat{c}_n^{-a}(-x+i). \end{aligned}$$

The identity (1.4) in the Introduction of this paper, or equivalently, conjecture 1.1 in [4], now follows as a consequence of the identity (1.7) for the first order difference operator Δ , (5.17) and the duality

$$\frac{(-1)^n}{a^n} \hat{c}_n^a(m) = \frac{(-1)^m}{a^m} \hat{c}_m^a(n), \quad n, m \geq 0.$$

Corollary 5.1. *Conjecture 1.1 in [4] (see (1.4)) holds for $n, k, m \geq 0$ and $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.*

5.2.2. *Meixner polynomials.* The monic Meixner polynomials are defined by

$$(5.18) \quad \hat{m}_n^{a,c}(x) = \frac{(c)_n}{(1-1/a)^n} \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(1-1/a)^j}{(c)_j} \binom{n}{j} \binom{x}{j} j!, \quad a \neq 0, 1$$

(we have taken a slightly different normalization from the one used in [3], pp. 175-7, from where the next formulas can be easily derived; see also [13], pp. 234-7 or [18], ch. 2). For $a \neq 0, 1$ and $c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$, they are always orthogonal with respect to a moment functional $\rho_{a,c}$, which we normalize by taking $\langle \rho_{a,c}, 1 \rangle = \Gamma(c)$. For $0 < |a| < 1$ and $c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$, we have

$$(5.19) \quad \rho_{a,c} = (1-a)^c \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^x \Gamma(x+c)}{x!} \delta_x.$$

The moment functional $\rho_{a,c}$ can be represented by a positive measure only when $0 < a < 1$ and $c > 0$. The norm of the monic Meixner polynomials is given by

$$(5.20) \quad \|\hat{m}_n^{a,c}\|^2 = \frac{a^n}{(1-a)^{2n}} n! \Gamma(c+n).$$

A simple computation gives

$$\mu_j^0 = \frac{a^j \Gamma(c+j)}{(1-a)^j j!}.$$

Proceeding as before for the Charlier polynomials, we can prove that the polynomials q_n^i , $n, i \geq 0$, are, up to a linear change of variable, again Meixner polynomials (but with different parameters):

$$(5.21) \quad q_n^i(x) = \frac{a^i \Gamma(c+i)}{i! n! (1-a)^i} \hat{m}_n^{a, -c-n-i+1}(-x+i).$$

Conjecture (3.7) of [4] now follows as a consequence of the identity (1.7) for the first order difference operator Δ , (5.21) and the duality

$$\frac{(a-1)^n}{a^n (1+c)_{n-1}} \hat{m}_n^{a,c}(m) = \frac{(a-1)^m}{a^m (1+c)_{m-1}} \hat{m}_m^{a,c}(n), \quad n, m \geq 0.$$

Corollary 5.2. *Conjecture (3.7) in [4] holds for $n, k, m \geq 0$ and $a \neq 0, 1$.*

5.3. **Krawtchouk polynomials.** For $a \neq 0, -1$, we write $(k_n^{a,N})_n$ for the sequence of monic Krawtchouk polynomials defined by

$$(5.22) \quad k_n^{a,N}(x) = \frac{(-1)^n a^n}{(1+a)^n} \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \frac{(1+a)^j (N-n)_{n-j}}{a^j} \binom{n}{j} \binom{x}{j}.$$

For $a \neq 0, -1$ and $N \neq 1, 2, \dots$, they are always orthogonal with respect to a (signed) measure $\rho_{a,N}$ which we normalize by taking $\langle \rho_{a,N}, 1 \rangle = 1$. When N is a positive integer and $a > 0$, the first N polynomials are orthogonal with respect to the positive Krawtchouk measure

$$(5.23) \quad \rho_{a,N} = \frac{\Gamma(N)}{(1+a)^{N-1}} \sum_{x=0}^{N-1} \frac{a^x}{\Gamma(N-x)x!} \delta_x.$$

A simple computation gives

$$\mu_j^0 = \frac{(N-j)_j a^j}{(1+a)^j j!}.$$

Proceeding as before for the Charlier polynomials, we can prove that the polynomials q_n^i , $n, i \geq 0$, are, up to a linear change of variable, again Krawtchouk polynomials (but with different parameters):

$$(5.24) \quad q_n^i(x) = \frac{a^i(N-i)_i}{i!n!(1+a)^i} k_n^{a, -N+i+n+1}(-x+i).$$

Conjecture (5.3) of [4] now follows as a consequence of the identity (1.7) for the first order difference operator Δ , (5.24) and the duality

$$\frac{(a+1)^n}{a^n(2-N)_{n-1}} k_n^{a,N}(m) = \frac{(a+1)^m}{a^m(2-N)_{m-1}} k_m^{a,N}(n), \quad n, m \geq 0.$$

Corollary 5.3. *Conjecture (5.3) in [4] holds for $n, k, m \geq 0$ and $a \neq 0, -1$.*

5.3.1. *Hahn polynomials.* For $\alpha + c - N \neq -1, -2, \dots$ we write $(h_n^{\alpha,c,N})_n$ for the sequence of monic Hahn polynomials defined by

$$(5.25) \quad h_n^{\alpha,c,N}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(1-N+j)_{n-j}(c+j)_{n-j}}{(n+\alpha+c-N+j)_{n-j}} \binom{n}{j} \binom{x}{j} j!$$

(we have taken a slightly different normalization from the one used in [18], pp. 30-53, from where the next formulas can be easily derived; for more details see [13], pp. 204-211).

Assume that $\alpha + c - N + 1, \alpha - N + 1, \alpha + c, c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$. If, in addition, N is not a positive integer, then the Hahn polynomials are always orthogonal with respect to a moment functional $\rho_{\alpha,c,N}$, which we normalize by taking

$$\langle \rho_{\alpha,c,N}, 1 \rangle = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1-N)\Gamma(c)\Gamma(\alpha+c)}{\Gamma(\alpha+c+1-N)}.$$

When N is a positive integer, the first N Hahn polynomials are orthogonal with respect to the Hahn measure

$$(5.26) \quad \rho_{\alpha,c,N} = \Gamma(N) \sum_{x=0}^{N-1} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha-x)\Gamma(x+c)}{\Gamma(N-x)x!} \delta_x$$

(which it is positive when $\alpha > N-1$ and $c > 0$). The norm of the monic Hahn polynomials is given by

$$(5.27) \quad \|h_n^{\alpha,c,N}\|^2 = \frac{n!(N-n)_n \Gamma(a-N+n+1) \Gamma(c+n) \Gamma(c+a+n)}{(a-N+c+2n) \Gamma(a-N+c+n) (a-N+c+n)_n^2}.$$

A computation gives

$$\mu_j^0 = \frac{(N-j)_j \Gamma(a-N+1) \Gamma(c+j) \Gamma(c+a)}{\Gamma(c+a-N+j+1) j!}.$$

Proceeding as before for the Charlier polynomials, we can prove that the polynomials q_n^i , $n, i \geq 0$, are, up to a linear change of variable, again Hahn polynomials (but with different parameters):

$$q_n^i(x) = c_{\alpha,c,N,n,i} h_n^{i-a, -c-n-i+1, n+1+i-N}(-x+i),$$

where $c_{\alpha,c,N,n,i}$ is a constant independent of x .

This can be used to establish some symmetries for Casorati determinants of Hahn polynomials different to the ones pose in the Conjectures 5.1 in [4].

5.4. The q -derivative. For a number $q \neq 1$, consider the q -derivative $T = D_q$ defined by

$$(5.28) \quad D_q(p) = \frac{p(x) - p(qx)}{x(1 - q)}.$$

We can take

$$(5.29) \quad r_n(x) = \frac{(1 - q)^n x^n}{(q; q)_n},$$

$$(5.30) \quad \psi_i(x) = x^i.$$

A simple computation then shows that

$$(5.31) \quad s_n(x) = \frac{q^{\binom{n}{2}} (1 - q)^n (-x)^n}{(q; q)_n}.$$

If we write $\mu_n = \int x^n d\mu$ for the moments of the measure μ , we then have

$$(5.32) \quad \mu_j^i = \int \psi_i r_j d\mu = \frac{(1 - q)^j \mu_{i+j}}{(q; q)_j}.$$

From where it easily follows that

$$q_n^{i+1} - q^n x q_n^i = \frac{1 - q^{n+1}}{1 - q} q_{n+1}^i.$$

If we write $q_n = \frac{(q; q)_n}{(1 - q)^n} q_n^0$, we can see that the determinant in the right hand side of (1.7) is equal (up to a multiplicative constant independent of x) to the Hankel determinant $\det(q_{n+i+j-2})_{i,j=1}^m$.

5.4.1. q -Laguerre polynomials. For $\alpha \neq -1, -2, \dots$, we use the standard definition of the q -Laguerre polynomials $(L_n^{\alpha, q})_n$

$$(5.33) \quad L_n^{\alpha, q}(x) = \frac{(q^{\alpha+1}; q)_n}{(q; q)_n} \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(q)^{\binom{j}{2}} (q^{-n}; q)_j}{(q^{\alpha+1}; q)_j (q; q)_j} (q^{n+\alpha+1} x)^j$$

(that and the next formulas can be found in [13], pp. 522-525).

Using that the moments of the q -Laguerre polynomials are given by

$$\mu_n = \frac{\Gamma(-\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma_q(-\alpha)} \frac{(1 - q)^{\alpha+1} (q^{\alpha+1}; q)_n}{q^{n\alpha + \binom{n+1}{2}}}, \quad n \geq 0,$$

one can see that the polynomials q_n^i , $n, i \geq 0$, are, up to a change of variable, little q -Jacobi polynomials ([13], p. 482):

$$q_n^i(x) = c_{n,i,\alpha} p_n(-q^{n-1} x; q^{-n-\alpha-i}, 0|q),$$

where $c_{n,i,\alpha}$ is a constant independent of x .

5.5. The operator $T_\mu(p_n) = p_{n-1}$. Let $(p_n)_n$ be a sequence of orthogonal polynomials with respect to a positive measure μ , and consider the linear operator T_μ defined by linearity from $T_\mu(p_n) = p_{n-1}$. Notice that the determinant in the left hand side of (1.7) has now a Toeplitz structure.

We take

$$r_n(x) = p_n(x), \quad \psi_i(x) = p_i(x).$$

Define finally the polynomials s_n , $n \geq 0$, by (4.2).

We then get

$$\mu_j^i = \int \psi_i r_j d\mu = \int p_i p_j d\mu = \begin{cases} \|p_i\|^2, & \text{if } j = i, \\ 0, & \text{if } j \neq i. \end{cases}$$

This gives

$$(5.34) \quad q_n^i = \sum_{j=0}^n \mu_j^i s_{n-j} = \|p_i\|^2 s_{n-i}.$$

Hence the determinant in the right hand side of (1.7) has the Toeplitz structure

$$\begin{vmatrix} s_n(x) & s_{n-1}(x) & s_{n-2}(x) & \cdots & s_{n-m+2}(x) & s_{n-m+1}(x) \\ s_{n+1}(x) & s_n(x) & s_{n-1}(x) & \cdots & s_{n-m+3}(x) & s_{n-m+2}(x) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ s_{n+m-1}(x) & s_{n+m-2}(x) & s_{n+m-3}(x) & \cdots & s_{n+1}(x) & s_n(x) \end{vmatrix}$$

For some families of orthogonal polynomials, the polynomials q_n^i (5.34), $n, i \geq 0$, are, up to change of variable, actually polynomials of the same family (but with different parameters). Among these families are Laguerre, ultraspherical, Charlier and Meixner polynomials.

5.5.1. Charlier polynomials. Since $\Delta(\hat{c}_n^a(x)) = n\hat{c}_{n-1}^a(x)$, the determinants in (1.7) for the operator T_μ are essentially the same that for the first order operator Δ (see Section 5.2.1).

5.5.2. Laguerre polynomials. The generating function for the Laguerre polynomials (5.9) is

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} L_n^\alpha(x) t^n = (1-t)^{-\alpha-1} e^{xt/(t-1)}.$$

Using (4.3) we get that $s_n(x) = L_n^{-\alpha-2}(-x)$, and, hence, taking into account (5.34) we deduce that also $q_n^i(x) = \|L_i^\alpha\|^2 L_{n-i}^{-\alpha-2}(-x)$.

5.5.3. Ultraspherical polynomials. Consider the ultraspherical (o Gegenbauer) polynomials $(C_n^\lambda)_n$, $\lambda \neq 0$, defined by the generating function

$$(5.35) \quad (1-2xz+z^2)^{-\lambda} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n^\lambda(x) z^n.$$

If $\lambda = -k$, with k a positive integer, we have $C_n^{-k} = 0$, $n \geq 2k$. It turns out that the finite sequence of polynomials C_n^{-k} , $n = 0, \dots, k$, satisfies a three term recurrence relation and hence they are orthogonal with respect to a (signed) measure.

For $\lambda = 0$, the ultraspherical polynomials reduce to the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind defined by the generating function

$$\frac{1 - xz}{1 - 2xz + z^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n^0(x) z^n.$$

The ultraspherical family $(C_n^\lambda)_n$ is the particular case of Jacobi polynomials for $\alpha = \beta = \lambda - 1/2$.

Using (4.3) we get that $s_n(x) = C_n^{-\lambda}(x)$, $\lambda \neq 0$ and, hence, taking into account (5.34) we deduce that also $q_n^i = \|C_i^\lambda\|^2 C_{n-i}^{-\lambda}(x)$. In particular, for $\lambda = k$ with k a positive integer, we get $s_n = 0$, $n \geq 2k + 1$.

For the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind, it is easy to see that $s_0 = 1$, $s_1(x) = -x$ and $s_n(x) = x^{n-2}(1 - x^2)$, $n \geq 2$. And for the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind, we have $s_0 = 1$, $s_1(x) = -2x$, $s_2(x) = 1$ and $s_n(x) = 0$, $n \geq 3$. As a consequence $\det(q_{n+i-1}^{j-1})_{i,j=1}^m = 0$ for $n \geq 3$ and $m \geq 2$. Theorem 1.2 then implies that for $(p_n)_n$ the Chebyshev polynomials of the first or second kind, also $\det(p_{m+j-i}(x))_{i,j=1}^n = 0$ for $n \geq 3$ and $m \geq 2$ (where we take $p_n = 0$ for $n < 0$). This is, anyway, an easy consequence of the fact that Chebyshev polynomials of the first and second kind have, essentially, constant recurrence coefficients.

5.5.4. Meixner polynomials. For $a \neq 0, 1$, consider the Meixner polynomials defined by the generating function

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m_n^{a,c}(x) t^n = \left(1 - \frac{t}{a}\right)^x (1 - t)^{-x-c}.$$

A straightforward computation shows that

$$m_n^{a,c}(x) = \frac{(a-1)^n}{a^n n!} \hat{m}_n^{a,c}(x),$$

where $\hat{m}_n^{a,c}(x)$ is the n -th monic Meixner polynomial defined by (5.18).

Using (4.3) we get that $s_n(x) = m_n^{a,-c}(-x)$, and, hence, taking into account (5.34) we deduce that also $q_n^i = \|m_i^{a,c}\|^2 m_{n-i}^{a,-c}(-x)$.

6. SELBERG TYPE INTEGRALS AND SUMS

Write $\mathbf{x}$ for the multivariable $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ and $\Lambda(\mathbf{x})$ for the Vandermonde determinant:

$$(6.1) \quad \Lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} (x_i - x_j).$$

Given a measure μ , consider the multiple integral

$$(6.2) \quad \int \cdots \int s(\mathbf{x}) \Lambda^{2\gamma}(\mathbf{x}) d\mu(\mathbf{x}),$$

where $d\mu(\mathbf{x}) = d\mu(x_1) \cdots d\mu(x_m)$ and $s(\mathbf{x})$ is a symmetric polynomial in the variable $x_1, \dots, x_m$.

When μ is the Jacobi measure $d\mu = x^{\alpha-1}(1-x)^{\beta-1}dx$, $\alpha, \beta > 0$, and $s(\mathbf{x}) = 1$, the integral (6.2) is the celebrated Selberg integral ([19], see also [7]):

$$\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \Lambda^{2\gamma}(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{j=1}^m x_j^{\alpha-1} (1-x_j)^{\beta-1} d\mathbf{x} = \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + j\gamma) \Gamma(\beta + j\gamma) \Gamma(1 + (j+1)\gamma)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + (m+j-1)\gamma) \Gamma(1 + \gamma)}.$$

As we wrote in the Introduction, other choices of $s(\mathbf{x})$ give well-known extensions of the Selberg integral. For instance, $s(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{j=1}^m (x_j - u)$ is Aomoto's integral [1], and $s(\mathbf{x}) = J_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_m, 1/\gamma)$, where $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ and J_λ is a Jack polynomial, is Kadell's integral [10]. For $s(\mathbf{x}) = 1$ and μ the Hermite measure $d\mu = e^{-x^2/2} dx$, the integral (6.2) is the also celebrated Mehta integral.

In this Section, we prove Theorem 1.3 in the Introduction. That is, we show that for certain operators T the determinant in the right hand side of (1.7) can be rewritten as an integral of the form (6.2) for $\gamma = 1$ and $s(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{j=1}^m r_n(x_j)$. Hence, the determinant in the left hand side of (1.7) gives the value of this integral.

This will allow us to obtain the value of some new Selberg type sums.

The main idea is to use a variant of the Heine's integral formula ([8]) for a Hankel matrix: If μ is a measure on the real line and we write $\mu_j = \int x^j d\mu$, $j \geq 0$, for its moments, then

$$\det((\mu_{i+j-2})_{i,j=1}^n) = \int \cdots \int \Lambda^2(\mathbf{x}) d\mu(\mathbf{x}).$$

We need the following lemma

Lemma 6.1. *Let μ be a measure in the real line and consider a sequence of polynomials $(\psi_n)_n$ with ψ_n of degree n and leading coefficient equal to v_n . Then, for any symmetric polynomial $s(\mathbf{x})$ we have*

$$(6.3) \quad \int \cdots \int s(\mathbf{x}) \Lambda(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{j=1}^m \psi_{j-1}(x_j) d\mu(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{(-1)^{\binom{m}{2}} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} v_j}{m!} \int \cdots \int s(\mathbf{x}) \Lambda^2(\mathbf{x}) d\mu(\mathbf{x}).$$

Proof. For each permutation σ of $\{1, \dots, m\}$, we perform in the integral in the left hand side of (6.3) the change of variable $y_i = x_{\sigma(i)}$. Since s is a symmetric polynomial and $\Lambda(\mathbf{y}) = (-1)^{\epsilon(\sigma)} \Lambda(\mathbf{x})$, where $\epsilon(\sigma)$ denotes the signature of the permutation σ , we get

$$\int \cdots \int s(\mathbf{x}) \Lambda(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{j=1}^m \psi_{j-1}(x_j) d\mu(\mathbf{x}) = (-1)^{\epsilon(\sigma)} \int \cdots \int s(\mathbf{x}) \Lambda(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{j=1}^m \psi_{j-1}(x_{\sigma(j)}) d\mu(\mathbf{x}).$$

Summing up over all permutations we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int \cdots \int s(\mathbf{x}) \Lambda(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{j=1}^m \psi_{j-1}(x_j) d\mu(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{1}{m!} \int \cdots \int s(\mathbf{x}) \Lambda(\mathbf{x}) \sum_{\sigma} (-1)^{\epsilon(\sigma)} \prod_{j=1}^m \psi_{j-1}(x_{\sigma(j)}) d\mu(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \frac{1}{m!} \int \cdots \int s(\mathbf{x}) \Lambda(\mathbf{x}) \det((\psi_{j-1}(x_i))_{i,j=1}^m) d\mu(\mathbf{x}). \end{aligned}$$

The latter determinant can be easily transformed into a Vandermonde determinant by elementary column operations (see [12], Prop. 1) to get $\det((\psi_{j-1}(x_i))_{i,j=1}^m) = (-1)^{\binom{m}{2}} \left(\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} v_j \right) \Lambda(\mathbf{x})$. The proof is now finished. $\square$

Given a number u and an operator T , we associate to T the polynomials r_n, s_n , $n \geq 0$, as in (4.1), (4.2). In this case we take $r_n(u) = 0$, $n \geq 1$ (these conditions define r_n , $n \geq 0$, uniquely). We write $r_n = r_{n,u}$ to stress the dependence of r_n on u . As an easy consequence we have that $s_n(u) = 0$, $n \geq 1$, (see (4.2)). This gives that $q_n^i(u) = \mu_n^i = \int \bar{\psi}_i r_n d\mu$, where q_n^i are the polynomials given by (1.6).

Hence the determinant in the right hand side of (1.7) evaluated at $x = u$ can be rewritten as

$$(6.4) \quad \det \left(q_{n+i-1}^{j-1}(u) \right)_{i,j=1}^m = \det \left(\int \bar{\psi}_{j-1}(x_j) r_{n+i-1,u}(x_j) d\mu(x_j) \right)_{i,j=1}^m \\ = \int \cdots \int \left(\prod_{j=1}^m \bar{\psi}_{j-1}(x_j) \right) \det (r_{n+i-1,u}(x_j))_{i,j=1}^m d\mu(\mathbf{x}).$$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.3 in the Introduction.

Proof. We first prove that

$$(6.5) \quad \det \left(q_{n+i-1}^{j-1}(u) \right)_{i,j=1}^m = \int \cdots \int \frac{\Lambda^2(\mathbf{x})}{m!} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{\bar{v}_{j-1} \sigma_{n+j-1}}{\sigma_n} r_{n,u}(x_j) d\mu(\mathbf{x}),$$

where v_n, σ_n are the leading coefficients of the polynomials ψ_n and r_n , respectively. Since $r_{n,u}(x) = \sigma_n \prod_{j=1}^n (x - a_j)$, we get

$$(6.6) \quad \det (r_{n+i-1}(x_j))_{i,j=1}^m = \det (h_{i-1}(x_j))_{i,j=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{\sigma_{n+j-1}}{\sigma_n} r_{n,u}(x_j)$$

where $h_i(x) = \prod_{j=n+1}^{n+i} (x - a_j)$. The determinant in the right hand side of (6.6) can be easily transformed into a Vandermonde determinant by elementary column operations (see [12], Prop. 1) to get $\det (h_{i-1}(x_j))_{i,j=1}^m = (-1)^{\binom{m}{2}} \Lambda(\mathbf{x})$. Hence (6.4) and (6.6) give

$$\det \left(q_{n+i-1}^{j-1}(u) \right)_{i,j=1}^m = (-1)^{\binom{m}{2}} \int \cdots \int \left(\prod_{j=1}^m \frac{\sigma_{n+j-1}}{\sigma_n} r_{n,u}(x_j) \right) \Lambda(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{j=1}^m \bar{\psi}_{j-1}(x_j) d\mu(\mathbf{x}).$$

(6.5) now follows easily using Lemma 6.1. The proof of the Theorem can be finished by using Theorem 1.2 and the formula (2.4) for Ω_n in terms of the norm of the monic orthogonal polynomials. $\square$

The three relevant operators $T = d/dx$, $T = \Delta$ and $T = D_q$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 1.3 with

$$r_{n,u}(x) = \frac{(x-u)^n}{n!}, \quad r_{n,u}(x) = \binom{x-u}{n}, \quad \text{and} \quad r_{n,u}(x) = \frac{(1-q)^n x^n (u/x; q)_n}{(q; q)_n},$$

respectively. Hence, we have

Corollary 6.2. *Let μ be a measure and write $(\hat{p}_n)_n$ for the sequence of monic orthogonal polynomials with respect to μ . Then*

$$\int \cdots \int \Lambda^2(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{j=1}^m (x_j - u)^n d\mu(\mathbf{x}) = C_{d,n,m} \det \left(\hat{p}_{m+j-1}^{(i-1)}(u) \right)_{i,j=1}^n, \\ \int \cdots \int \Lambda^2(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{j=1}^m (x_j - u - n + 1)_n d\mu(\mathbf{x}) = C_{\Delta,n,m} \det \left(\Delta^{i-1}(\hat{p}_{m+j-1}(u)) \right)_{i,j=1}^n, \\ \int \cdots \int \Lambda^2(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{j=1}^m x_j^n (u/x_j; q)_n d\mu(\mathbf{x}) = C_{D_q,n,m} \det \left(D_q^{i-1}(\hat{p}_{m+j-1}(u)) \right)_{i,j=1}^n,$$

where

$$C_{d,n,m} = C_{\Delta,n,m} = \frac{(-1)^{mn} m! \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \|\hat{p}_j\|^2}{\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} j!},$$

$$C_{D_q,n,m} = \frac{(-1)^{mn} m! (1-q)^{\binom{n}{2}} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \|\hat{p}_j\|^2}{\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (q; q)_j},$$

respectively.

When μ is one of the the classical weights of Jacobi, Laguerre or Hermite, and $u = 0$, the determinant in the right hand side of the first identity of the previous Corollary can be easily computed using standard determinant techniques. In particular, for μ the Jacobi weight and $u = 0$, we recover the Selberg integral for $\gamma = 1$. For μ the Jacobi weight and $n = 1$ we recover Aomoto's result for (6.2) when $\gamma = 1$ ([1]). In a similar way, for the classical discrete polynomials we get a deduction for Askey conjectures 5, 6 and 7 in [2] when $\gamma = 1$. Similar results can be obtained for some of the q -classical weights and Askey conjectures for q -Selberg integrals.

Theorem 1.3 can be rewritten only in terms of the polynomials r_n .

Corollary 6.3. *Let $(a_n)_n, (\sigma_n)_n$ be two sequences of numbers with $\sigma_0 = 1$. Write $r_n, n \geq 0$, for the polynomials of degree n defined by $r_0 = 1, r_n(x) = \sigma_n \prod_{j=1}^n (x - a_j), n \geq 1$. Consider a measure μ and its associated sequence $(\hat{p}_n)_n$ of monic orthogonal polynomials. For each $n \geq 0$, we can write $\hat{p}_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \theta_j^n r_j(x)$. Then*

$$(6.7) \quad \int \cdots \int \Lambda^2(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{j=1}^m r_n(x_j) d\mu(\mathbf{x}) = C_{T,n,m} \det \left(\theta_{i-1}^{m+j-1} \right)_{i,j=1}^n,$$

where

$$C_{T,n,m} = (-1)^{mn} m! \sigma_n^m \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \|\hat{p}_j\|^2 \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \sigma_j.$$

Proof. Define the operator T by linearity from $T(r_n) = r_{n-1}, n \geq 1$, and $T(1) = 0$. Then $T^i(\hat{p}_n)(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \theta_j^n r_{j-i}(x)$, and since $r_n(a_1) = 0, n \geq 1$, we get $T^i(p_n)(a_1) = \theta_i^n$. It is enough now to apply Theorem 1.3. $\square$

Here it is an illustrative example of how to use this Corollary to get a Selberg type sum for Racah polynomials.

6.1. Racah polynomials. Let N, β, γ, δ be real numbers satisfying that N is a positive integer and $\delta, \gamma, -\beta + \gamma \neq -1, \dots, -N, \beta + \delta \neq -1, \dots, -2N - 1$. Define the numbers σ_n and the polynomials $\tau_n, r_n, 0 \leq n \leq N$, by

$$\sigma_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{(-N)_n (\beta + \delta + 1)_n (\gamma + 1)_n n!},$$

$$\tau_n(x) = (-1)^n \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (x - i(g + d + 1 + i)),$$

$$r_n(x) = \sigma_n (-1)^n \tau_n(x).$$

Write $\lambda(x) = x(x + \gamma + \delta + 1)$ and for $0 \leq n \leq N$ consider the monic Racah polynomials (see [13], pp. 190-196) defined by

$$(6.8) \quad R_n^{N,\beta,\gamma,\delta}(\lambda(x)) = \frac{(-1)^n}{n! \sigma_n(\beta + n - N)_n} {}_4F_3 \left(\begin{matrix} -n & n + \beta - N & -x & x + \gamma + \delta + 1 \\ & -N & \beta + \gamma + 1 & \gamma + 1 \end{matrix} ; 1 \right).$$

They are orthogonal with respect to the discrete measure

$$\sum_{x=0}^N \frac{(-N)_x(\beta + \delta + 1)_x(\gamma + 1)_x(\gamma + \delta + 1)_x((\gamma + \delta + 3)/2)_x}{(N + \gamma + \delta + 2)_x(-\beta + \gamma + 1)_x((\gamma + \delta + 1)/2)_x(\delta + 1)_x x!} \delta_{\lambda(x)}.$$

The norm of the n -th monic Racah polynomial is

$$\|R_n^{N,\beta,\gamma,\delta}\|^2 = \frac{(-1)^n M(\beta - \gamma - N)_n(-\delta - N)_n(\beta + 1)_n}{\sigma_n(\beta - N + 1)_{2n}(\beta - N + n)_n},$$

where $M = \frac{(-\beta)_N(\gamma + \delta + 2)_N}{(-\beta + \gamma + 1)_N(\delta + 1)_N}$.

A simple computation shows that $\tau_n(\lambda(x)) = (-x)_n(x + \gamma + \delta + 1)_n$. Hence, (6.8) can then be rewritten as

$$R_n^{N,\beta,\gamma,\delta}(\lambda(x)) = \frac{(-1)^n}{n! \sigma_n(\beta + n - N)_n} \sum_{j=0}^n (-n)_j(n + \beta - N)_j r_j(x)$$

With the notation of Corollary 6.3, we have

$$\theta_j^n = \frac{(-1)^n (-n)_j(n + \beta - N)_j}{n! \sigma_n(\beta + n - N)_n}.$$

Using standard determinant techniques we get

$$\det \left(\theta_{i-1}^{m+j-1} \right)_{i,j=1}^n = (-1)^{mn} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{j!}{(m+j)! \sigma_{m+j}(\beta + m + j - N)_m}.$$

Hence Corollary 6.3 gives the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_m=n}^N \Lambda^2(\mathbf{x}_\lambda) \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{(-N, \beta + \delta + 1, \gamma + 1, \gamma + \delta + 3)/2)_{x_j}(\gamma + \delta + 1)_{x_j+n}}{(N + \gamma + \delta + 2, -\beta + \gamma + 1, (\gamma + \delta + 1)/2, \delta + 1)_{x_j}(x_j - n)!} \\ = (-1)^{mn} M^m \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{(j+1)! (\beta - \gamma - N, -\delta - N, \beta + 1)_j (-N, \beta + \delta + 1, \gamma + 1)_{n+j}}{(\beta - N + 1)_{2j}(\beta - N + j)_j(\beta - N + m + j)_n}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{x}_\lambda = (\lambda(x_1), \dots, \lambda(x_m))$, and $(u_1, \dots, u_k)_j = (u_1)_j \cdots (u_k)_j$.

Similar versions can be get for the other Racah weights (see [13], pp. 190).

7. CONSTANT TERM IDENTITIES

In this Section 7, we show that for certain operators T and certain families of orthogonal polynomials $(p_n)_n$, one (or both) of the determinants in (1.7) can be rewritten as the constant term of certain multivariate Laurent expansions. Some of them are generalizations of Morris identity (1.11) for $k = 1$. We next consider illustrative examples of this for Meixner, Charlier and ultraspherical polynomials.

7.1. Meixner polynomials. For $a \neq 0, 1$, consider as in Section 5.5.4 the Meixner polynomials $(m_n^{a,c})_n$ defined by the generating function

$$(7.1) \quad \left(1 - \frac{z}{a}\right)^x (1 - z)^{-x-c} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m_n^{a,c}(x) z^n.$$

Summing up rows we have

$$(7.2) \quad \det \left(\Delta^{i-1}(m_{m+j-1}^{a,c}(x)) \right)_{i,j=1}^n = \det \left(m_{m+j-1}^{a,c}(x+i-1) \right)_{i,j=1}^n.$$

Using Theorem 1.2 and taking into account the computations in Section 5.2.2 we get

$$(7.3) \quad \det \left(\Delta^{i-1}(m_{m+j-1}^{a,c}(x)) \right)_{i,j=1}^n = C_{n,m} \det \left(m_{n+i-1}^{a,-c-n-i-j+3}(-x+j-1) \right)_{i,j=1}^m,$$

where

$$C_{n,m} = \frac{(-1)^{nm + \binom{m}{2} + \binom{n}{2}} (1-a)^{\binom{n}{2}}}{a^{\binom{n}{2} - \binom{m}{2}}}.$$

The generating function (7.1) gives for the Meixner polynomials the integral representation

$$(7.4) \quad m_n^{a,c}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{\left(1 - \frac{z}{a}\right)^x (1 - z)^{-x-c}}{z^{n+1}} dz,$$

where the function $f_x(z) = \left(1 - \frac{z}{a}\right)^x (1 - z)^{-x-c}$ is taken so that it is analytic at $z = 0$ and $f_x(0) = 1$, and C is a circle with center at $z = 0$, positively oriented and such that $f_x(z)$ is analytic in a neighborhood of C and in its interior. Using this integral representation, we can rewrite the determinant in the left hand side of (7.3) in terms of the constant term in the Laurent expansion of certain multivariable analytic function.

Indeed, using (7.4) the determinant in the right hand side of (7.2) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_C \cdots \int_C \det \left(\frac{\left(1 - \frac{z_j}{a}\right)^{x+i-1} (1 - z_j)^{-x-i-c+1}}{z_j^{m+j}} \right)_{i,j=1}^n dz,$$

where $d\mathbf{z} = dz_1 \cdots dz_n$. This multiple integral can be computed as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_C \cdots \int_C \det \left(\frac{\left(1 - \frac{z_j}{a}\right)^{x+i-1} (1 - z_j)^{-x-i-c+1}}{z_j^{m+j}} \right)_{i,j=1}^n d\mathbf{z} \\ &= \int_C \cdots \int_C \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\left(1 - \frac{z_j}{a}\right)^x (1 - z_j)^{-x-c}}{z_j^{m+j}} \det \left(\frac{\left(1 - \frac{z_j}{a}\right)^{i-1}}{(1 - z_j)^{i-1}} \right)_{i,j=1}^n d\mathbf{z} \\ &= (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} \int_C \cdots \int_C \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\left(1 - \frac{z_j}{a}\right)^x}{(1 - z_j)^{x+c} z_j^{m+j}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{(1-a)(z_i - z_j)}{a(1 - z_i)(1 - z_j)} d\mathbf{z} \\ &= \frac{(a-1)^{\binom{n}{2}}}{n! a^{\binom{n}{2}}} \int_C \cdots \int_C \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\left(1 - \frac{z_j}{a}\right)^x}{(1 - z_j)^{x+c+n-1} z_j^{m+n}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (z_i - z_j)^2 d\mathbf{z}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the version of Lemma 6.1 for a contour integral.

The Residue Theorem then gives that the last integral is equal to

$$(2\pi i)^n \text{C.T.}|_{\mathbf{z}=0} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\left(1 - \frac{z_j}{a}\right)^x}{(1 - z_j)^{x+c+n-1} z_j^{m+n-1}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (z_i - z_j)^2,$$

where if $F(\mathbf{z})$ is a multivariable meromorphic function $F(\mathbf{z})$ at $z_1 = \cdots = z_n = 0$, we denote by $\text{C.T.}|_{\mathbf{z}=0} F(\mathbf{z})$ the constant term in the Laurent expansion at $z_1 = \cdots = z_n = 0$ of $F(\mathbf{z})$.

Using (7.3), we finally get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{C.T.}|_{\mathbf{z}=0} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\left(1 - \frac{z_j}{a}\right)^x}{(1 - z_j)^{x+c+n-1} z_j^{m+n-1}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (z_i - z_j)^2 \\ = (-1)^{\binom{m}{2} + \binom{n}{2} + nm} n! a^{\binom{m}{2}} \det \left(m_{n+i-1}^{a, -c-n-i-j+3} (-x+j-1) \right)_{i,j=1}^m. \end{aligned}$$

7.2. Charlier polynomials. Consider the Charlier polynomials $(c_n^a)_n$ defined by the generating function

$$(7.5) \quad (1+z)^x e^{-az} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n^a(x) z^n.$$

A straightforward computation shows that

$$c_n^a(x) = \hat{c}_n^a(x)/n!,$$

where $\hat{c}_n^a(x)$ is the n -th monic Charlier polynomial defined by (5.14).

Proceedings as in the previous Section (using Theorem 1.2 and the computations in Section 5.2.1), we get

$$(7.6) \quad \det (c_{m+j-1}^a(x+i-1))_{i,j=1}^n = (-1)^{nm} \det (c_{n+i-1}^{-a}(-x+j-1))_{i,j=1}^m.$$

The generating function (7.5) gives for the Charlier polynomials the integral representation

$$(7.7) \quad c_n^a(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{(1+z)^x e^{-az}}{z^{n+1}} dz,$$

where the function $f_x(z) = (1+z)^x e^{-az}$ is taken so that it is analytic at $z = 0$ and $f_x(0) = 1$, and C is a circle with center at $z = 0$, positively oriented and such that $f_x(z)$ is analytic in a neighborhood of C and its interior.

Using this integral representation and proceeding as in the previous Section, we can rewrite the determinant in the left hand side of (7.6) in terms of the constant term in the Laurent expansion of certain multivariable analytic function. In doing that, we get

$$\text{C.T.}|_{\mathbf{z}=0} \prod_{j=1}^n e^{-az_j} \frac{(1+z_j)^x}{z_j^{m+n-1}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (z_i - z_j)^2 = (-1)^{\binom{n}{2} + nm} n! \det (c_{n+i-1}^{-a}(-x+j-1))_{i,j=1}^m.$$

7.3. Ultraspherical polynomials I. Consider, as in Section 5.5.3, the ultraspherical (or Gegenbauer) polynomials $(C_n^\lambda)_n$, $\lambda \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$, defined by the generating function

$$(7.8) \quad (1 - 2xz + z^2)^{-\lambda} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n^\lambda(x) z^n.$$

Consider the operator $T(C_n^\lambda) = C_{n-1}^\lambda$. Using Theorem 1.2 and the computations in Section 5.5 and 5.5.3 we get

$$(7.9) \quad \det(C_{m+j-i}^\lambda(x))_{i,j=1}^n = (-1)^{nm} \det(C_{n+i-j}^{-\lambda}(x))_{i,j=1}^m.$$

The generating function (7.8) gives for the ultraspherical polynomials ($\lambda \neq 0$) the integral representation

$$(7.10) \quad C_n^\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{(1 - 2xz + z^2)^{-\lambda}}{z^{n+1}} dz,$$

where the function $f_x(z) = (1 - xz + z^2)^{-\lambda}$ is taken so that it is analytic at $z = 0$ and $f_x(0) = 1$, and C is a circle with center at $z = 0$, positively oriented and such that $f_x(z)$ is analytic in a neighborhood of C and in its interior. Notice that if we write $C_n^\lambda(x) = 0$ for $n < 0$, the integral representation (7.10) also holds for $n < 0$.

Using this integral representation and proceeding as in the previous Section, we can rewrite the determinant in the left hand side of (7.9) in terms of the constant term in the Laurent expansion of certain multivariable analytic function. In doing that, we get for $\lambda \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$

$$(7.11) \quad \text{C.T.}|_{\mathbf{z}=0} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{(1 - 2xz_j + z_j^2)^{-\lambda}}{z_j^{m+n-1}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (z_i - z_j)^2 = (-1)^{\binom{n}{2} + nm} n! \det(C_{n+j-1}^{-\lambda}(x))_{i,j=1}^m.$$

Actually, this formula holds for $\lambda \neq 0$. Indeed, for $\lambda = -k$, with k a positive integer, the formula (7.8) allows to define the polynomials C_n^{-k} , $n \geq 0$. For $n \geq 2k$ we have $C_n^{-k} = 0$. It is not difficult to see that for fixed n, m , the determinant in the right hand side of (7.11) is a polynomial in λ vanishing at $\lambda = 0$. Since the left hand side of (7.11) is a continuous function in λ and they are equal for $\lambda \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$, they are actually equal for all values of $\lambda \neq 0$. For $\lambda = 0$, the left hand side of (7.11) is then 0 (this happens because the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind C_n^0 do not satisfy the generating function (7.8)).

For $x = 0$, the determinant in the right hand side of (7.11) can be explicitly computed. In doing that, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \text{C.T.}|_{\mathbf{z}=0} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{(1 + z_j^2)^{-\lambda}}{z_j^{m+n-1}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (z_i - z_j)^2 \\ = (-1)^{\binom{n}{2} + nm} n! \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } nm \text{ is odd,} \\ \omega(n, m, \lambda), & \text{if } nm \text{ is even and } n \leq m, \\ \omega(m, n, -\lambda), & \text{if } nm \text{ is even and } m \leq n, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where for $n \leq m$ we define

$$\omega(n, m, \lambda) = (-1)^n \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{[j/2]!}{[\frac{m+j}{2}]!} \prod_{j=0}^{[\frac{m+1}{2}]-1} (\lambda + j)^{\min(n, m-2j)} (\lambda - j - 1)^{\max(n-2j-2, 0)}.$$

7.4. Ultraspherical polynomials II. If we consider the derivative instead of the operator T considered in the previous Section, the integral representation (7.10) leads to other interesting constant term identity.

For ultraspherical polynomials we have the formula

$$(C_n^\lambda)'(x) = 2\lambda C_{n-1}^{\lambda+1}(x).$$

This gives

$$(7.12) \quad \det \left((C_{m+j-1}^\lambda(x))^{(i-1)} \right)_{i,j=1}^n = 2^{\binom{n}{2}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda)_{i-1} \right) \det (C_{m+j-i}^{\lambda+i-1}(x))_{i,j=1}^n.$$

Using Theorem 1.2 and the computations in Section 5.1.1 we get for $\lambda \neq -1/2, -1, -3/2, -2, \dots$,

$$(7.13) \quad \det \left((C_{m+j-1}^\lambda(x))^{(i-1)} \right)_{i,j=1}^n = C_{n,m} \det \left(\frac{(1-x)^{n+i-1} P_{n+i-1}^{2\lambda+j-1, -n-i-\lambda+1/2} \left(\frac{x+3}{x-1} \right)}{(2\lambda+2j-1)_{n+i-j}} \right)_{i,j=1}^m,$$

where

$$C_{n,m} = (-1)^{nm + \binom{m}{2}} 2^{\binom{n+m}{2}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n+m-1} (\lambda)_j}{\prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (2\lambda)_j}.$$

Using the integral representation (7.10), and proceeding as in the previous Section we can write the determinant in the left hand side of (7.13) in terms of the constant term in the Laurent expansion of certain multivariable analytic function. In doing that, we get for $\lambda \neq -1/2, -1, -3/2, -2, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{C.T.}|_{\mathbf{z}=0} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{(1-2xz_j+z_j^2)^{-\lambda-n+1}}{z_j^m} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (z_i - z_j)^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{z_i z_j} \right) \\ = (-1)^{nm + \binom{m}{2}} n! 2^{nm + \binom{m}{2}} \left(\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{(\lambda)_{n+j}}{(2\lambda)_j} \right) \det \left(\frac{(1-x)^{n+i-1} P_{n+i-1}^{2\lambda+j-1, -n-i-\lambda+1/2} \left(\frac{x+3}{x-1} \right)}{(2\lambda+2j-1)_{n+i-j}} \right)_{i,j=1}^m. \end{aligned}$$

For $x = 1$, the determinant in the right hand side of the above identity can be explicitly computed to get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{C.T.}|_{\mathbf{z}=0} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{(1-z_j)^{-2\lambda-2n+2}}{z_j^m} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (z_i - z_j)^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{z_i z_j} \right) \\ = \frac{n! 2^{2nm + \binom{m}{2}} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (2\lambda+2j-1)^{m-j} \right)}{\left(\prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} (2\lambda+n+2j-1)_{\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor} \right)} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{j! (\lambda)_{n+j} (\lambda+j+1/2)_n}{(n+j)! (2\lambda)_j (2\lambda+2j+1)_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgement The author would like to thank Doron Zeilberger for pointing him out that the conjecture 1.4 was related to certain Selberg and Morris type identities.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Aomoto, Jacobi polynomials associated with Selberg integrals, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **18** (1987), 545–549.
- [2] R. Askey, Some basic hypergeometric extensions of integrals of Selberg and Andrews, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **11** (1980) 938–951.
- [3] T. Chihara, An introduction to orthogonal polynomials, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 1978.
- [4] A.J. Durán, Symmetries for Casorati determinants of classical discrete orthogonal polynomials, To appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [5] F.J. Dyson, Statistical theory of energy levels of complex systems. I, J. Math. Phys. **3** (1962), 140–156.

- [6] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, F. G. Tricomi, (Bateman project), Higher Transcendental Functions, Volume II McGraw Hill, New York, 1953.
- [7] P.J. Forrester and S. Ole Warnaar, The importance of the Selberg integral, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **45** (2008), 489–534.
- [8] H.E. Heine, *Handbuch der Kugelfunktionen*, 1878, 1881, Vol. 2 (2nd edn, Berlin).
- [9] K.W.J. Kadell, A proof of some q -analogues of Selberg’s integral for $k = 1$, *SIAM J. Math. Analysis* **19** (1988), 944–968.
- [10] K.W.J. Kadell, The Selberg-Jack symmetric functions, *Adv. Math.* **130** (1997), 33–102.
- [11] S. Karlin, G. Szegő, On certain determinants whose elements are orthogonal polynomials, *Journal d’Analyse Math.* **8** (1961), 1–157.
- [12] C. Krattenthaler, Advanced determinant calculus, *Séminaire Lotharingien Combin.* **42** (1999), Art. B41d.
- [13] R. Koekoek, P. A. Lesky and L.F. Swarttouw, *Hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and their q -analogues*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
- [14] B. Leclerc, On identities satisfied by minors of a matrix, *Adv. Math.* **100** (1993), 101–132.
- [15] B. Leclerc, On certain formulas of Karlin and Szegő, *Séminaire Lotharingien Combin.* **41** (1998), Art. B41d.
- [16] I.G. Macdonald, Some conjectures for root systems, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **13** (1982), 988–1007.
- [17] W. G. Morris, Constant Term Identities for Finite and Affine Root System, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Wisconsin, Madison, 1982.
- [18] A.F. Nikiforov, S.K. Suslov and V.B. Uvarov, *Classical orthogonal polynomials of a discrete variable*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [19] A. Selberg, Bemerkninger om et multipelt integral, *Norsk. Mat. Tidsskr.* **24** (1944), 71–78.
- [20] G. Szegő, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1959.
- [21] P. Turán, On the zeros of the polynomials of Legendre, *Časopis pro Pěstování Matematiky a Fysiky*, **75** (1950), 113–122.
- [22] H. W. Turnbull, *The theory of determinants, matrices, and invariants*, Dover 1960.

A. J. DURÁN, DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, UNIVERSIDAD DE SEVILLA, APDO (P. O. BOX) 1160, 41080 SEVILLA. SPAIN.
E-mail address: `duran@us.es`